- 11									
1	BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General								
2	of the State of California MARGARET A. LAFKO								
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General DIANE DE KERVOR, State Bar No. 174721								
4	Deputy Attorney General California Department of Justice								
5	110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100 San Diego, CA 92101								
6	P.O. Box 85266								
7	San Diego, CA 92186-5266 Telephone: (619) 645-2611 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061								
8	Attorneys for Complainant								
9	Attorneys for Complaniant								
10	BEFORE T BOARD OF PHA								
11	DEPARTMENT OF CON STATE OF CAL	SUMER AFFAIRS							
12		•							
13	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 2974							
14	CORY BARENBAUM 23364 Merion	DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER							
15	Mission Viejo, CA 92692	[Gov. Code, §11520]							
16	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 53490	* <u></u>							
17	Respondent.								
18									
19	FINDINGS O								
20		006, Complainant Virginia Herold, in her							
21	official capacity as the Interim Executive Officer of	the Board of Pharmacy, filed Accusation No							
22	2974 against Cory Barenbaum (Respondent) before								
23	·	003, the Board issued Pharmacy Technician							
24	Registration No. TCH 53490 to Respondent. The P								
25	force and effect at all times relevant to the charges be	brought herein and will expire on September							
26	30, 2007, unless renewed.								
27		06, Mona Sebastian, an employee of the							
28	Department of Justice, served by Certified and First	Class Mail a copy of the Accusation No.							

2974, Statement to Respondent, Notice of Defense, Request for Discovery, and Government Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6, and 11507.7 to Respondent's address of record with the Board, which was and is 23364 Merion, Mission Viejo, CA 92692. A copy of the Accusation, the related documents, and the Declaration of Service are attached as exhibit A, and are incorporated herein by reference.

- 4. Service of the Accusation was effective as a matter of law under the provisions of Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c).
- 5. On or about December 15, 2006, this office received a Domestic Return Receipt signed by Respondent. A copy of the Domestic Return Receipt is attached hereto as exhibit B, and is incorporated herein by reference.
- 6. This office has not received any returned documents from this mailing, nor has this office, or the Board, ever received a Notice of Defense from the Respondent.
  - 7. Government Code section 11506 states, in pertinent part:
- "(c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent files a notice of defense, and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the accusation not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense shall constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing."
- 8. Respondent failed to file a Notice of Defense within 15 days after service upon him of the Accusation, and therefore waived his right to a hearing on the merits of Accusation No. 2974.
  - 9. California Government Code section 11520 states, in pertinent part:
  - "(a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense or to appear at the hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to respondent."
- 10. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Board finds Respondent is in default. The Board will take action without further hearing and, based on Respondent's express admissions by way of default and the evidence before it, contained in

## <u>ORDER</u>

- 11	
2	IT IS SO ORDERED that Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 53490,
3	heretofore issued to Respondent Cory Barenbaum, is revoked. Pursuant to Government Code
4	section 11520, subdivision (c), Respondent may serve a written motion requesting that the
5	Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on within seven (7) days after service of the
6	Decision on Respondent. The agency in its discretion may vacate the Decision and grant a
7	hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in the statute.
8	This Decision shall become effective on <u>March 9, 2007</u>
9	It is so ORDERED <u>February 7, 2007</u>
10	
11	DOADD OF BUADAACN
12	BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
13	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
14	51. W.
15	80108298.wpd  DOJ docket number:SD2006800301  By  WILLIAM POWERS
16	Board President
17	Attachments:
18	Exhibit A: Accusation No. 2974, Related Documents, and Declaration of Service Exhibit B: Domestic Return Receipt
19	Exhibit C: Certification of Prosecution Costs: Declaration of Diane de Kervor
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

## Exhibit A

Accusation No. 2974, Related Documents and Declaration of Service

ŕ									
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,									
1	BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General								
2	of the State of California MARGARET A. LAFKO, State Bar No. 105921								
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General DIANE DE KERVOR, State Bar No. 174721								
4	Deputy Attorney General California Department of Justice								
5	110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100 San Diego, CA 92101								
6	P.O. Box 85266								
7	San Diego, CA 92186-5266 Telephone: (619) 645-2611								
8	Facsimile: (619) 645-2061								
9	Attorneys for Complainant								
10	BEFORE T								
11	BOARD OF PHA DEPARTMENT OF CON	ISUMER AFFAIRS							
12	STATE OF CALIFORNIA								
13	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 2974							
14	CORY BARENBAUM	ACCUSATION							
15	23364 Merion Mission Viejo, CA 92692	·							
16	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 53490								
. 17	Respondent.								
18									
19	Complainant alleges:								
20	PARTIE	<u>ES</u>							
21	1. Virginia Herold (Complainan	t) brings this Accusation solely in her official							
22	capacity as the Interim Executive Officer of the Boa	ard of Pharmacy.							
23	2. On or about December 16, 20	003, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacy							
24	Technician Registration Number TCH 53490 to Cor	ry Barenbaum (Respondent). The Pharmacy							
25	Technician Registration was in full force and effect	at all times relevant to the charges brought							
26	herein and will expire on September 30, 2007, unles	ss renewed.							
27	111								
28	111								

### JURISDICTION AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS

- 3. This Accusation is brought before the Board of Pharmacy, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
- 4. Code section 118, subdivision (b), states, in pertinent part, that the expiration of a license shall not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary action during the period within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued or reinstated.

### 5. Code section 482 states:

"Each board under the provisions of this code shall develop criteria to evaluate the rehabilitation of a person when:

- "(a) Considering the denial of a license by the board under Section 480; or
- "(b) Considering suspension or revocation of a license under Section 490.

"Each board shall take into account all competent evidence of rehabilitation furnished by the applicant or licensee."

6. Section 490 of the Code states, in pertinent part:

"A board may suspend or revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime, if the crime is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the license was issued. A conviction within the meaning of this section means a plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere. . . . "

### 7. Code section 493 states:

"Notwithstanding any other provision of law, in a proceeding conducted by a board within the department pursuant to law to deny an application for a license or to suspend or revoke a license or otherwise take disciplinary action against a person who holds a license, upon the ground that the applicant or the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question, the record of conviction of the crime shall be conclusive evidence of the fact that the conviction occurred, but only of that fact,

and the board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime in order to fix the degree of discipline or to determine if the conviction is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question.

"As used in this section, 'license' includes 'certificate,' 'permit,' 'authority,' and 'registration.'"

### 8. Section 4301 of the Code states:

"The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

". . . .

"(f) The commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption, whether the act is committed in the course of relations as a licensee or otherwise, and whether the act is a felony or misdemeanor or not.

"...

"(j) The violation of any of the statutes of this state or of the United States regulating controlled substances and dangerous drugs.

" . . . .

"(1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty

or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. . . .

" . . . !!

9. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770 states:

"For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare."

### COST RECOVERY

10. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

### **DRUGS**

11. **Vicodin and Norco**, pain relievers, are dangerous drugs pursuant to section 4022 and are Schedule III controlled substances pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 11056(e)(4). The generic name for Vicodin and Norco is hydrocodone plus Acetominophen.

### FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(April 22, 2005 Criminal Conviction -Possession for Sale of a Controlled Substance and Sale or Transportation of a Controlled Substance on September 21, 2004)

12. Grounds exist to revoke Respondent's license under sections 490, 493, and 4301(l) for a criminal conviction that is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a Pharmacy Technician. On or about April 22, 2005 in the Superior Court for the County of Orange, Central Justice Center, in a case entitled *People vs. Cory Neil Barenbaum* (Sup. Ct., Orange, 2005, Case No. 04SF1196), respondent pled guilty to a violation of Health and

Safety Code sections 11351 and 11352(a), both felonies. The circumstances of the conviction are as follows:

knowingly possessed a useable quantity of the controlled substance Hydrocodone, knowing it to be a controlled substance for purposes of sale and transported this substance for purposes of sale. On or about September 21, 2004, at 10:40 a.m., a police officer stopped Respondent's car for various traffic violations. While speaking to Respondent, the Officer noted the odor of marijuana and saw pieces of plant material, stems, and seeds strewn around the car. Respondent admitted to smoking marijuana the night before. Respondent was asked to step out of the car, and in response to the question whether he had anything illegal in his car, Respondent admitted that he had a bag of Norco (Hydrocodone/Acetaminophen), a prescription drug, but that he did not have the prescription. He admitted that he had 500 pills. A search of the car revealed a bag of yellow pills marked "Watson 853," a large empty manufacturer bottle of Hydrocodone, and a used marijuana pipe. The officer, based upon his experience and training, identified the pills as Hydrocodone, commonly known as Vicodin. The Officer noted that Respondent did not appear to be under the influence of drugs.

14. Pursuant to a plea agreement, on or about April 22, 2005, Respondent was sentenced to 180 days in jail (stayed until June 24, 2005), three years of formal probation, supervised electronic confinement, fines and restitution in the amount of \$270.00, and he was ordered to cease working in a pharmacy. On June 24, 2005, a bench warrant in the amount of \$10,000 was issued as Respondent failed to appear in court. On July 1, 2005, Respondent appeared in court and the bench warrant was recalled. All terms and conditions of probation were ordered to remain the same.

24 | ///

25 ///

26 | ///

27 | ///

28 | ///

### SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

### (Violation of Laws Relating to Controlled Substances and Dangerous Drugs)

15. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301(j) in that he violated statutes and regulations regulating controlled substances and dangerous drugs. The circumstances are set forth above in paragraphs 12 to 14 and are incorporated by reference herein.

### THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

### (Unprofessional Conduct)

16. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301(f) in that he engaged in unprofessional conduct when he violated statutes and regulations regulating controlled substances and dangerous drugs. The circumstances are set forth above in paragraphs 13 to 15 and incorporated by reference herein.

### **PRAYER**

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:

- 1. Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Technician Registration Number TCH 53490, issued to Cory Barenbaum.
- 2. Ordering Cory Barenbaum to pay the Board the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3;
  - 3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED: 11/27/06

VIRGINIA HERO

Interim Executive Officer Board of Pharmacy

State of California

Complainant

27 SD2006800301

1	BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General of the State of California									
2	MARGARET A. LAFKO, State Bar No. 105921 Supervising Deputy Attorney General DIANE DE KERVOR, State Bar No. 174721									
3   4	Deputy Attorney General California Department of Justice									
5	110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100 San Diego, CA 92101									
6	P.O. Box 85266									
7	San Diego, CA 92186-5266 Telephone: (619) 645-2611 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061									
8	Attorneys for Complainant									
9	,									
10	BEFORE T BOARD OF PHA									
11	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA									
12	STATE OF CAL									
13	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 2974								
14	CORY BARENBAUM	REQUEST FOR DISCOVERY								
15	Respondent.	[Gov. Code § 11507.6]								
16										
17	TO RESPONDENT:	•••								
18	Under section 11507.6 of the Govern	ment Code of the State of California, parties								
19	to an administrative hearing, including the Complair	nant, are entitled to certain information								
20	concerning the opposing party's case. A copy of the	provisions of section 11507.6 of the								
21	Government Code concerning such rights is included	d among the papers served.								
22	PURSUANT TO SECTION 11507.6	OF THE GOVERNMENT CODE, YOU								
23	ARE HEREBY REQUESTED TO:									
24	1. Provide the names and addresses of v	vitnesses to the extent known to the								
25	Respondent, including, but not limited to, those inte	nded to be called to testify at the hearing, and								
26	2. Provide an opportunity for the Comp	lainant to inspect and make a copy of any of								
27	the following in the possession or custody or under	control of the Respondent:								
28	a. A statement of a person, other	r than the Respondent, named in the initial								

administrative pleading, or in any additional pleading, when it is claimed that the act or omission of the Respondent as to this person is the basis for the administrative proceeding;

- b. A statement pertaining to the subject matter of the proceeding made by any party to another party or persons;
- c. Statements of witnesses then proposed to be called by the Respondent and of other persons having personal knowledge of the acts, omissions or events which are the basis for the proceeding, not included in (a) or (b) above;
- d. All writings, including but not limited to reports of mental, physical and blood examinations and things which the Respondent now proposes to offer in evidence;
- e. Any other writing or thing which is relevant and which would be admissible in evidence, including but not limited to, any patient or hospital records pertaining to the persons named in the pleading;
- f. Investigative reports made by or on behalf of the Respondent pertaining to the subject matter of the proceeding, to the extent that these reports (1) contain the names and addresses of witnesses or of persons having personal knowledge of the acts, omissions or events which are the basis for the proceeding, or (2) reflect matters perceived by the investigator in the course of his or her investigation, or (3) contain or include by attachment any statement or writing described in (a) to (e), inclusive, or summary thereof.

For the purpose of this Request for Discovery, "statements" include written statements by the person, signed, or otherwise authenticated by him or her, stenographic, mechanical, electrical or other recordings, or transcripts thereof, of oral statements by the person, and written reports or summaries of these oral statements.

YOU ARE HEREBY FURTHER NOTIFIED that nothing in this Request for Discovery should be deemed to authorize the inspection or copying of any writing or thing which is privileged from disclosure by law or otherwise made confidential or protected as attorney's work product.

Your response to this Request for Discovery should be directed to the undersigned attorney for the Complainant at the address on the first page of this Request for Discovery within 30 days after service of the Accusation.

Failure without substantial justification to comply with this Request for Discovery may subject the Respondent to sanctions pursuant to sections 11507.7 and 11455.10 to 11455.30 of the Government Code.

DATED: December 4, 2006

BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General of the State of California

DIANE DE KERVOR Deputy Attorney General

Attorneys for Complainant

1	BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General of the State of California									
2	MARGARET A. LAFKO, State Bar No. 105921 Supervising Deputy Attorney General									
3	DIANE DE KERVOR, State Bar No. 174721									
4	Deputy Attorney General California Department of Justice									
5	110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100 San Diego, CA 92101									
6	P.O. Box 85266									
7	San Diego, CA 92186-5266   Telephone: (619) 645-2611   Facsimile: (619) 645-2061									
8										
9	Attorneys for Complainant									
10	BEFORE T									
11	BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS									
12	STATE OF CAL	IFORNIA								
13	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 2974								
14	CORY BARENBAUM	STATEMENT TO RESPONDENT								
15	Respondent.	[Gov. Code §§ 11504, 11505(b)]								
16										
17		•								
18	TO RESPONDENT:	Comments of the comments of th								
19	Enclosed is a copy of the Accusation	that has been filed with the Board of								
20	Pharmacy of the Department of Consumer Affairs (E	Board), and which is hereby served on you.								
21	Unless a written request for a hearing	signed by you or on your behalf is delivered								
22	or mailed to the Board, represented by Deputy Attorney General Diane De Kervor, within fifteen									
23	(15) days after a copy of the Accusation was personally served on you or mailed to you, you will									
24	be deemed to have waived your right to a hearing in	this matter and the Board may proceed upon								
25	the Accusation without a hearing and may take actio	on thereon as provided by law.								
26	The request for hearing may be made	by delivering or mailing one of the enclosed								
27	forms entitled "Notice of Defense," or by delivering	or mailing a Notice of Defense as provided								
28	in section 11506 of the Government Code, to									
	1									

Diane De Kervor Deputy Attorney General 110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100 San Diego, California 92101

P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, California 92186-5266.

You may, but need not, be represented by counsel at any or all stages of these proceedings.

The enclosed Notice of Defense, if signed and filed with the Board, shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the Accusation, but you will not be permitted to raise any objection to the form of the Accusation unless you file a further Notice of Defense as provided in section 11506 of the Government Code within fifteen (15) days after service of the Accusation on you.

If you file any Notice of Defense within the time permitted, a hearing will be held on the charges made in the Accusation.

The hearing may be postponed for good cause. If you have good cause, you are obliged to notify the Office of Administrative Hearings, 320 West Fourth Street, Suite 630, Los Angeles, CA 90013, within ten (10) working days after you discover the good cause. Failure to notify the Office of Administrative Hearings within ten (10) days will deprive you of a postponement.

Copies of sections 11507.5, 11507.6, and 11507.7 of the Government Code are enclosed.

If you desire the names and addresses of witnesses or an opportunity to inspect and copy the items mentioned in section 11507.6 of the Government Code in the possession, custody or control of the Board you may send a Request for Discovery to the above designated Deputy Attorney General.

### NOTICE REGARDING STIPULATED SETTLEMENTS

It may be possible to avoid the time, expense and uncertainties involved in an administrative hearing by disposing of this matter through a stipulated settlement. A stipulated settlement is a binding written agreement between you and the government regarding the matters

2:2

charged and the discipline to be imposed. Such a stipulation would have to be approved by the Board of Pharmacy but, once approved, it would be incorporated into a final order.

Any stipulation must be consistent with the Board's established disciplinary guidelines; however, all matters in mitigation or aggravation will be considered. A copy of the Board's Disciplinary Guidelines will be provided to you on your written request to the state agency bringing this action.

If you are interested in pursuing this alternative to a formal administrative hearing, or if you have any questions, you or your attorney should contact Deputy Attorney General Diane De Kervor at the earliest opportunity.

\*\*\*\*\*

SD2006800301 80103873.wpd

# BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the	Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 2974						
COR	Y BARENBAUM		NOTICE OF DEFENSE					
	Re	espondent.	[Gov. Code §§ 11505 and 11506]					
copy 11507	I, the undersigned Respondent in the of the Accusation; Statement to Respondent in the 7.7, Complainant's Request for Discov	ondent; Gove	led proceeding, hereby acknowledge receipt of a rnment Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6 and copies of a Notice of Defense.					
Accus	I hereby request a hearing to permit sation.	me to presen	t my defense to the charges contained in the					
	DATED:							
	Respondent's Name							
	Respondent's Signature							
	Respondent's Mailing Address							
	City, State and Zip Code							
	Respondent's Telephone Number	***************************************						
Chec	k appropriate box:							
	I am represented by counsel, whose	name, addre	ss and telephone number appear below:					
	Counsel's Name							
	Counsel's Mailing Address							
	City, State and Zip Code							
	Counsel's Telephone Number		·					
	the attorney's name, address and tel	ephone numl sent to couns	el for Complainant so that counsel will be on					
		ing an approj	ccusation may have formulated guidelines to priate penalty. You may obtain a copy of the g.					

# BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the	e Matter of the Accusation Against	Case No. 2974					
COR	Y BARENBAUM		NOTICE OF DEFENSE				
		Respondent.	[Gov. Code §§ 11505 and 11506]				
		espondent; Gove	led proceeding, hereby acknowledge receipt of a rnment Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6 and copies of a Notice of Defense.				
Accu	I hereby request a hearing to per sation.	mit me to presen	t my defense to the charges contained in the				
	DATED:						
	Respondent's Name						
	Respondent's Signature						
	Respondent's Mailing Address						
	City, State and Zip Code	C2007 100000 200000 100000 100000 1000000 1000000					
	Respondent's Telephone Number	er					
Chec	k appropriate box:	, if					
	I am represented by counsel, wh	nose name, addre	ss and telephone number appear below:				
	Counsel's Name						
	Counsel's Mailing Address						
	City, State and Zip Code	6-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11					
	Counsel's Telephone Number						
	the attorney's name, address and	d telephone numbopy sent to couns	en counsel is retained, immediate notification of per will be filed with the Office of sel for Complainant so that counsel will be on her papers.				
		eaching an approp	ecusation may have formulated guidelines to priate penalty. You may obtain a copy of the eg.				

# COPY OF GOVERNMENT CODE SECTIONS 11507.5, 11507.6 AND 11507.7 PROVIDED PURSUANT TO GOVERNMENT CODE SECTIONS 11504 AND 11505

### SECTION 11507.5: Exclusivity of discovery provisions

The provisions of Section 11507.6 provide the exclusive right to and method of discovery as to any proceeding governed by this chapter.

### SECTION 11507.6: Request for discovery

After initiation of a proceeding in which a respondent or other party is entitled to a hearing on the merits, a party, upon written request made to another party, prior to the hearing and within 30 days after service by the agency of the initial pleading or within 15 days after the service of an additional pleading, is entitled to (1) obtain the names and addresses of witnesses to the extent known to the other party, including, but not limited to, those intended to be called to testify at the hearing, and (2) inspect and make a copy of any of the following in the possession or custody or under the control of the other party:

- (a) A statement of a person, other than the respondent, named in the initial administrative pleading, or in any additional pleading, when it is claimed that the act or omission of the respondent as to this person is the basis for the administrative proceeding;
- (b) A statement pertaining to the subject matter of the proceeding made by any party to another party or person;
- (c) Statements of witnesses then proposed to be called by the party and of other persons having personal knowledge of the acts, omissions or events which are the basis for the proceeding, not included in (a) or (b) above;
- (d) All writings, including, but not limited to, reports of mental, physical and blood examinations and things which the party then proposes to offer in evidence;
- (e) Any other writing or thing which is relevant and which would be admissible in evidence;
- (f) Investigative reports made by or on behalf of the agency or other party pertaining to the subject matter of the proceeding, to the extent that these reports (1) contain the names and addresses of witnesses or of persons having personal knowledge of the acts, omissions or events which are the basis for the proceeding, or (2) reflect matters perceived by the investigator in the course of his or her investigation, or (3) contain or include by attachment any statement or writing described in (a) to (e), inclusive, or summary thereof.

For the purpose of this section, "statements" include written statements by the person signed or otherwise authenticated by him or her, stenographic, mechanical, electrical or other recordings, or transcripts thereof, of oral statements by the person, and written reports or summaries of these oral statements.

Nothing in this section shall authorize the inspection or copying of any writing or thing which is privileged from disclosure by law or otherwise made confidential or protected as the attorney's work product.

### SECTION 11507.7: Petition to compel discovery; Order; Sanctions

- (a) Any party claiming the party's request for discovery pursuant to Section 11507.6 has not been complied with may serve and file with the administrative law judge a motion to compel discovery, naming as respondent the party refusing or failing to comply with Section 11507.6. The motion shall state facts showing the respondent party failed or refused to comply with Section 11507.6, a description of the matters sought to be discovered, the reason or reasons why the matter is discoverable under that section, that a reasonable and good faith attempt to contact the respondent for an informal resolution of the issue has been made, and the ground or grounds of respondent's refusal so far as known to the moving party.
- (b) The motion shall be served upon respondent party and filed within 15 days after the respondent party first evidenced failure or refusal to comply with Section 11507.6 or within 30 days after request was made and the party has failed to reply to the request, or within another time provided by stipulation, whichever period is longer.
- (c) The hearing on the motion to compel discovery shall be held within 15 days after the motion is made, or a later time that the administrative law judge may on the judge's own motion for good cause determine. The respondent party shall have the right to serve and file a written answer or other response to the motion before or at the time of the hearing.
- (d) Where the matter sought to be discovered is under the custody or control of the respondent party and the respondent party asserts that the matter is not a discoverable matter under the provisions of Section 11507.6, or is privileged against disclosure under those provisions, the administrative law judge may order lodged with it matters provided in subdivision (b) of Section 915 of the Evidence Code and examine the matters in accordance with its provisions.
- (e) The administrative law judge shall decide the case on the matters examined in camera, the papers filed by the parties, and such oral argument and additional evidence as the administrative law judge may allow.
- (f) Unless otherwise stipulated by the parties, the administrative law judge shall no later than 15 days after the hearing make its order denying or granting the motion. The order shall be in writing setting forth the matters the moving party is entitled to discover under Section 11507.6. A copy of the order shall forthwith be served by mail by the administrative law judge upon the parties. Where the order grants the motion in whole or in part, the order shall not become effective until 10 days after the date the order is served. Where the order denies relief to the moving party, the order shall be effective on the date it is served.

\*\*\*\*\*

### DECLARATION OF SERVICE BY CERTIFIED MAIL AND FIRST CLASS MAIL

(Separate Mailings)

Case Name:

**CORY BARENBAUM** 

Case No.:

2974

I declare:

I am employed in the Office of the Attorney General, which is the office of a member of the California State Bar at which member's direction this service is made. I am 18 years of age or older and not a party to this matter. I am familiar with the business practice at the Office of the Attorney General for collection and processing of correspondence for mailing with the United States Postal Service. In accordance with that practice, correspondence placed in the internal mail collection system at the Office of the Attorney General is deposited with the United States Postal Service that same day in the ordinary course of business.

On December 5, 2006, I served the attached

# ACCUSATION, STATEMENT TO RESPONDENT, NOTICE OF DEFENSE (2 COPIES), REQUEST FOR DISCOVERY AND DISCOVERY STATUTES

by placing a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope as certified mail with postage thereon fully prepaid and return receipt requested, and another true copy of the

# ACCUSATION, STATEMENT TO RESPONDENT, NOTICE OF DEFENSE (2 COPIES), REQUEST FOR DISCOVERY AND DISCOVERY STATUTES

was enclosed in a second sealed envelope as first class mail with postage thereon fully prepaid, in the internal mail collection system at the Office of the Attorney General at 110 West A Street, Suite 1100, P.O. Box 85266, San Diego, CA 92186-5266, addressed as follows:

Cory Barenbaum 23364 Merion Mission Viejo, CA 92692

Certified Article Number

SENDERS RECORD

Courtesy Copy to:

Kim DeLong, Enforcement Analyst Board of Pharmacy 1625 North Market Boulevard, Suite N-219 Sacramento, CA 95834 (First Class Mail Only)

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was executed on **December 5**, 2006, at San Diego, California.

MONA SEBASTIAN

Declarant

Signature

2. Article Number	COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY
7160 3901 9849 5489 5763	A. Regelved by (Please Print Clearly)  C. Signe Agent Addressee  Description of the print Clearly  Agent Addressee  Diffest Address different from Item 1?  If YES, enter delivery address below:
3. Service Type CERTIFIED MAIL	
4. Restricted Delivery? (Extra Fee)	
1. Article Addressed to:	
Cory Barenbaum	
23364 Merion	
Mission Viejo, CA 92692	
	SD200680030.
	Diane De Kervo
PS Form 3811, January 2005 Domestic Ro	eturn Receipt

Exhibit B

Domestic Return Receipt

1	BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General												
2	of the State of California MARGARET A. LAFKO												
3													
4	Deputy Attorney General California Department of Justice												
5	110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100 San Diego, CA 92101												
6	P.O. Box 85266												
7	San Diego, CA 92186-5266 Telephone: (619) 645-2611 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061												
8	Attorneys for Complainant												
9	BEFORE T												
10	BOARD OF PHA DEPARTMENT OF CON	SUMER AFFAIRS											
11	STATE OF CAL	IFORNIA											
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 2974											
13	CORY BARENBAUM	CERTIFICATION OF											
14	23364 Merion Mission Viejo, CA 92692	PROSECUTION COSTS: DECLARATION OF DIANE DE											
15	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH	KERVOR											
16	53490	[Business and Professions Code section 125.3]											
17	Respondent.												
18													
19	I, DIANE DE KERVOR, hereby decl	are and certify as follows:											
20	1. I am a Deputy Attorney Gene	ral employed by the California Department of											
21	Justice (DOJ), Office of the Attorney General (Office	e). I am assigned to the Licensing Section in											
22	the Civil Division of the Office. I have been designate	ated as the representative to certify the costs											
23	of prosecution by DOJ and incurred by the Board of	Pharmacy in this case. I make this											
24	certification in my official capacity and as an officer	of the court and as a public employee											
25	pursuant to Evidence Code section 664.												
26	2. I represent the Complainant,	Virginia Herold, Interim Executive Officer of											
27	the Board of Pharmacy, in this action. I was assigned	ed to handle this case on or around February											
28	28, 2006.												

. .

- 3. Our Office's computerized case management system reflect that the following persons have performed tasks related to this matter: Diane de Kervor, Deputy Attorney General, and Margaret A. Lafko, Supervising Deputy Attorney General.
- 4. I am familiar with the time recording and billing practices of DOJ and the procedure for charging the client agency for the reasonable and necessary work performed on a particular case. It is the duty of the time keeping employees to keep track of the time spent and to report that time in DOJ's computerized case management system at or near the time of the tasks performed.
- 5. On January 4, 2007, I requested a billing summary for this case from the Accounting Department of the DOJ. In response, on January 4, 2007, I received a document entitled "Matter Time Activity by Professional Type." I hereby certify that the Matter Time Activity by Professional Type, attached hereto as Exhibit A, and herein incorporated by reference, is a true and correct copy of the billing summary for this matter that I received from the Accounting Department. The summary includes the billing costs incurred by myself, as well as other professionals of the DOJ who worked on the matter; and sets forth the tasks undertaken, the amount of time billed for the activity, and the billing rate by professional type. The billing summary is comprehensive of the charges by the Office to the Board of Pharmacy through January 4, 2007. It does not include billing for tasks performed after January 4, 2007, up to the date of hearing.
- 6. Based upon the time reported through January 4, 2007, as set forth in Exhibit A, DOJ has billed the Board of Pharmacy \$1,999.50 for the time spent working on the above entitled case.

///

///

///

///

1	7. The total costs to be bill in this matter are: \$1,999.50.										
2	8. To the best of my knowledge the items of cost set forth in this certification										
3	are correct and were necessarily incurred in this case.										
4	I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the										
5	foregoing is true and correct.										
6	Executed on January 5, 2006, in the City of San Diego, California.										
7											
8											
9	DANE DE KERVOR										
10	Deputy Attorney General Declarant										
11	80108889.wpd										
12	SD2006800301										
13	,										
14											
15											
16											
17											
18	·										
19											
20											
21											
22											
23											
24											
25											
26											
27											

# Matter Time Activity By Professional Type

account for a country former

As Of 1/2/2007

Stmn Date

Adj?

Amount Rate Hours Worked Task Client Section Trans # Date

Date Opened: 02/28/2006

Description: Barenbaum, Cory N., TCH

Matter ID: SD2006800301

Professional Type: ATTORNEY

		08/31/06	90/08/60	90/30/60	90/08/60	90/06/60	90/06/60	90/08/60	90/30/06	90/06/60	11/30/06	11/30/06	11/30/06	11/30/06								90/06/60			
		\$39.50	\$39.50	\$79.00	\$237.00	\$316.00	\$158.00	\$39.50	\$39.50	\$39.50	\$39.50	\$79.00	\$39.50	\$39.50	\$39.50	\$39.50	\$39.50	\$79.00	\$395.00	\$1,777.50		\$39.50	\$39.50	00 44 044	00.710,14
		\$158.00	\$158.00	\$158.00	\$158.00	\$158.00	\$158.00	\$158.00	\$158.00	\$158.00	\$158.00	\$158.00	\$158.00	\$158.00	\$158.00	\$158.00	\$158.00	\$158.00	\$158.00			\$158.00		1	
		0.25	0.25	0.50	1.50	2.00	1.00	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.50	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.50	2.50	11.25		0.25	0.25	74 60	06.11
																				Diane De Kervor Totals:			Margaret A. Lafko Totals:	2006 2007 Totals:	ZOUGEST LOIGIS.
	·	Case Management	Case Management	Pleading Preparation	Pleading Preparation	Pleading Preparation	Pleading Preparation	Case Management	Case Management	Case Management	Case Management	Client Communication	Case Management	Case Management	Case Management	Case Management	Case Management	Client Communication	Pleading Preparation			Supervisory Review			
		03583	03583	03583	03583	03583	03583	03583	03583	03583	03583	03583	03583	03583	03583	03583	03583	03583	03583			03583			
		CV-LIC:110	CV-LIC:110	CV-LIC:110	CV-LIC:110	CV-LIC:110	CV-LIC:110	CV-LIC:110	CV-LIC:110	CV-LIC:110	CV-LIC:110	CV-LIC:110	CV-LIC:110	CV-LIC:110	CV-LIC:110	CV-LIC:110	CV-LIC:110	CV-LIC:110	CV-LIC:110			CV-LIC:110			
Fiscal Year: 2006-2007	Professional: Diane De Kervor	800208544 08/02/06	800215546 09/01/06	800215575 09/01/06	800215850 09/05/06	800216815 09/07/06	800216808 09/08/06				800230482 11/06/06			800235709 11/30/06	800241462 12/20/06				800242958 01/02/07		Professional: Margaret A. Lafko	800216611 09/07/06 CV-LIC:110			
Fiscal Yea	Profession	80	80	8	80		80	80	80	80	80	σ.	80	80	Φ	- ∞	- σο		80		Profession	σ			

Fiscal Year: 2005-2006

Professional: Diane De Kervor

03/31/06	03/31/06	(AMM001)
\$36.50	\$36.50	
\$146.00	\$146.00	
0.25	0.25	
03583 Case Management	03583 Case Management	Page 1 of 2
CV-LIC:110 03	CV-LIC:110 03	
700112812 03/08/06 C	700117757 03/30/06 C	Date: 1/2/07 02:11PM

# Matter Time Activity By Professional Type

ask	Client Task O3583 Case Management
	03583 Case Management
	Case Management
Diane De Kervor Totals:	Diane I
2005-2006 Totals:	2005-20
ATTORNEY Totals:	¥
SD2006800301 Totals:	SD20

Date: 1/2/07 02:11PM